

# Brzezinski Quotes on Al-Qaeda and on his plans for America and the world

Zbigniew Brzezinski [za BIG new, bruh ZIN skee]

Brzezinski is the partner of David Rockefeller.

Together they created the TriLateral Commission in 1973. Intellectual representative of 300 of the wealthiest people in the world.

Author. Strong influence on US policies, foreign and domestic. He's like a bookend to Henry Kissinger, who is also a Tri-Lateralist. Brzezinski was a rising advisor under Nixon.

Brzezinski served as National Security Advisor under Jimmy Carter.

Truthfully, Jimmy Carter was 'appointed' to serve under Rockefeller and Brzezinski.

(Note this: America is a capitalist country not a republic or democracy .. duh!

Money talks, not voting.)

The Bushes and Clintons are both Tri-Lateralists.

Tri-Lateralists, and their older sister the Council on Foreign Relations, populate Democrat and Republican cabinets and high positions. Carter had almost 300 CFR members in his cabinet.



[The Carter Administration: Myth and Reality](#) - Noam Chomsky

Chomsky describes the real Jimmy Carter and the Trilateral Commission.

If you don't like Chomsky, see "Mr. Conservative" statement:

"The Trilateral Commission is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical. What the Trilateral Commission intends is to create a worldwide economic power superior to the political



governments of the nationstates involved. As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future."

U.S. Senator Barry Goldwater in his 1979 book: With No Apologies. (I would not have voted for Goldwater. Nuke Vietnamese? No way. But he was right about this.)

TLC is ruthless and anti-democratic.

One wrote 'Crisis of Democracy', about limiting public input. Yet TLC sounds liberal at times.

Tri-Lateralists are less overtly evil and more pragmatic than neo-conservatives ideologues.

It's a matter more of tone and framing.

[Brzezinski secretly launched the Al-Qaeda Project](#), as a covert strategy.  
Reagan championed Islamic radicals as "National Heroes".  
Reagan gave them a holiday and dedicated the Shuttle to them.

[Zbigniew Brzezinski](#) Polish-American strategist, professor and former National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter. He has several prominent children, including:

[Mika Brzezinski newsanchor and correspondent](#), perhaps best known for her live coverage of the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center attacks

[Ian Brzezinski](#), current U.S. Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for European and NATO Affairs

[Mark Brzezinski](#), prominent attorney and constitutional scholar [how to get around the constitution]

if you want more details on Brzezinski actions, START WITH

-->> [MEET Al-Qaeda FOUNDER](#)

Then come here to see how to decode his philosophy on US foreign and domestic policy, based on quotes from his own books, articles, and public statements.

or go for plain list of quotes from [The Grand Chessboard](#)

What do you think about this brilliant and devious ruler?

NEXT go to the [GLOBAL CONQUEST](#) page.



"It is also a fact that America is **too democratic at home** to be autocratic abroad. This **limits the use of America's power**, especially its capacity for **military intimidation**. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, **except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being**. The economic self-denial

(that is, defense spending) and the **human sacrifice** (casualties, even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization." —from The Grand Chessboard, Brzezinski 1997

Let's decode this:



"It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation."

(What is THIS factor that limits the capacity for military intimidation, which he and his audience do not want to be limited? The democratic public will, some 200-300 million creatures who don't like war and dying and killing. That's the problem.)

Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the **pursuit of power**

is not a goal that commands popular passion, **except** in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.

(We've now defined the goal - pursuit of power - we've defined the problem - us - and we've defined the simplest solution to that problem - a sudden threat. Again ...)

The economic self-denial (that is, defense spending) and the human sacrifice (casualties, even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are **uncongenial to democratic instincts**.

Democracy is inimical to **imperial mobilization**." "that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment" —from The Grand Chessboard, American Primacy And It's Geostrategic Imperatives," Zbigniew Brzezinski, 1997

This is why they say "democracy" does not work ... for them. It's "mob rule". People are not obedient enough for our rulers.

*"explicit aim of promoting radical Islam" = US foreign policy (and that's a quote)* (of [Wikipedia](#) stating US policy under Brzezinski)

Brzezinski DECODED:

**let's break down this quote, including a compilation of other quotes below:**

these are things they WANT, "good" things:

autocratic action abroad (dictatorship, unilateral), **military intimidation**, pursuit of power, **imperial mobilization**, international supremacy, *human sacrifice*, economic self-denial (at home, massive defense spending leading to poverty and lowered expectations), public consensus on foreign policy issues (agreement, no dissent), casualties (*when required* for their goals), controlled society, continuous surveillance over every citizen, instantaneous retrieval of your files by the authorities, order which depends on compelling newly mobilized strata (Vietnam Syndrome) to return to a measure of passivity and defeatism, and so on



Most people think that man sacrifice is desirable. They find necessary and desirable. The problem is the most people find it undesirable. You might think passivity and defeatism is bad. They think defeatism is good, and very important for maintaining ORDER, specifically the order of them being in charge and us obeying without question.

Here is the list of problems, the barriers they must overcome:

**Democracy at home**, democratic instincts, populist democracy, popular passion, multi-cultural society, traditional values (of Liberty), limitations on the use of American power, the public's sense of domestic well-being,



*In a nutshell, the problem is people's instinctive aversion to war, to wasteful spending, to needless death and suffering, to grief over losing children to war, and a strong **aversion** that every normal, non-sociopath person has to **slaughtering** other people (a natural aversion to killing even 'enemies', esp if you have to kill them yourself), **particularly a problem** when magnified through free democratic expression of public dissent. They need to find methods and means to channel vigorous democratic action into passive public defeatism. They need to overcome the 'disease' known as "Vietnam Syndrome", a repugnant feeling towards war, government, and elite corporate rule. How about crack cocaine? Police brutality? Vapid television? Empty patriotic gestures?*

(The Pentagon has spent a lot of effort studying psychology to overcome the human aversion to killing:

[On Killing](#), by Lt. Col. Dave Grossman uncovered some terrible side-effects to creating a population of killers.)

How Brzezinski describes SOLUTIONS to overcoming the barriers to imperialism:

Going thru the motions of **bi-partisanship**, to maintain the illusion of democracy

Getting **both parties** on the same page

a truly massive and widely **perceived** direct external threat

a sudden threat

**challenge** (threat) to the public's sense of domestic well-being, blamed on a demonized enemy, internal like "liberals" or external like "Muslims"

manipulate emotions and control reason via magnetic personalities like media 'stars' and politicians,

**security dependence among the vassals** [vassals are lesser foreign allies who are dependent on US military, also could be us, the serfs]



*shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor*

a New Pearl Harbor (per his partner, Rockefeller, AND the [PNAC group around Bush](#) who also wrote [in favor of terrorism](#))

Brzezinski openly agrees with Marxists that democracy and capitalist acquisition are incompatible.

SO ... kill democracy.

Is this a conspiracy theory? No, it's direct written quotes from his books (and my clarification).

Which would YOU openly choose? **Their** power and international supremacy, or **your** freedom?

So have the rulers decided to cancel their plans for imperial mobilization, to preserve our democratic Republic and public well-being? Don't kid yourself!

They **reduce** and **sidestep** democracy. Cheat. Lie. Hide. Obscure. Reveal. Feint. Manipulate. Terrorize. Promote radical Islam. Stage domestic terror, and introduce the PATRIOT Act and [Military Commissions Act of 2006](#) to ostensibly combat the terrorism they intentionally created.

Short of arresting and interrogating Brzezinski, these quotes from his books and articles are probably the closest we'll ever get to a complete confession that the United States "shadow government" orchestrated Sept 11, whether with reliable remote control flights or using 'live' Arab bastards, the [playboy cokehead Arab decoys](#) in Florida.

Today, [Brzezinski repudiates the Neo-con agenda](#)

The second chance in the title reflects Brzezinski's belief that whoever follows Bush -- Brzezinski assumes it will be a Democrat -- has the potential to set things right. But this is no sure bet.

Brzezinski writes, for "it will take years of deliberate effort and genuine skill to restore America's political credibility and legitimacy." The next time had better be it: Brzezinski holds "there will be no third chance."

Sliding into an academic idiom -- as he is wont to do in print -- he concludes that the next president must find a way to restore the nation's great power status by "tangibly relating American power to the aspirations of politically awakened humanity."

"This country became the leader of the world in 1991," Brzezinski said. "We had a unique opportunity. That's what my book is about. And we still have that opportunity. We may have it after 2008. But if we don't exercise it intelligently, we are going to get bogged down. Power will be disbursed to other centers of power. That may not be so bad. But it's likely to be very chaotic."

"If we get involved in Iran, we are going to get bogged down in a protracted military undertaking that will span Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan, probably for the next three to four years," Brzezinski warned. "And then you can look at the world and say goodbye to U.S. leadership. We are going to be very busy." (ends with criticism of Bush hyping terrorism)

But Cheney and Perle are members of his Trilateral group, and Cheney was a past President of the CFR, where Zbig and Rockefeller are high members, and money is what talks. He criticizes Bush hyping terrorism, but his own writings describe that social tactic. This makes me think that the "good" side of America, represented by the Democrats and the Trilateralists, simply outsourced their more violent jingo plans to the far right Neo-Conservative Republicans, as a PR strategy to blame the Right Wing ascension as an accident and thereby recover US prestige after the war.

**Schoenman**: ... history is not driven by conspiracies. It's structured, it's institutional, it's systemic and it's systematic – but our rulers conduct their affairs in a conspiratorial manner precisely because they are not answerable to our people because their power is concealed. Power is not registered through formal representative institutions that are empty of content. Power is not exercised through those means.

Robert Townsend was the president of Avis and American Express. He did a book called Up the Organization [in which] he calculates that 5,000 people control what he calls the commanding heights of the US economy ... they regard this country as their own whore house and they treat each president as their private towel boy. Well, I don't think that's rhetoric. I think that's an accurate sociological description.

Did Brzezinski HELP rescue the Afghanis from the USSR?

**Brzezinski**, known for his hardline policies on the **Soviet Union**, initiated a campaign supporting **mujaheddin** in **Pakistan** and **Afghanistan**, which were run by Pakistani security services with financial support from the **CIA** and Britain's **MI6**.

This policy had the **explicit aim of promoting radical Islamist and anti-Communist forces to overthrow the secular communist People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan government in Afghanistan**, which had been destabilized by coup attempts against Hafizullah Amin, the power struggle within the Soviet-supported parcham faction of the PDPA and a **subsequent** Soviet military intervention.

*Saudis and Pakistanis DID fund Al-Qaeda ... says so here on Wikipedia ... on arrangements by Brzezinski*



Brzezinski, an avowed anti-Soviet, has been accused by the Bircher right wing of acting as a "communist social-economic planner" along with David Rockefeller. But this type of "communism" is for corporations, not people. Monopoly Capitalism, or State-Capitalism, for private profit. It requires the expansion of US neo-imperialism, and it needs conquest of the American public by deceit and fear.

They will 'scientifically' suppress American 'insurgents' by carefully honing the point of marginal utility of political/economic/military/intellectual/social repression (but hopefully not too much repression to provoke a reaction that could threaten their rule, not too little repression that the might lose control), in order to maximize profitability at the very top 1% of America's population, the "commanding heights" of U.S. capitalism. Mostly, they will make good TV.

Fascism is a political ideology which includes transferring the pangs in your belly, the emptiness of your wallet, and your general dissatisfaction with life and feeling cheated to

(a) a universal enemy - and -

(b) a dream or mythology of national greatness, to supercede personal greatness and success, which will be unattainable by most people. Sacrifice is required, and the reward is symbolic.

Brzezinski's close colleague Huntington wants

**"newly mobilized strata to return to a measure of passivity and defeatism"**,

signalling that mass passivity and defeatism are positive effects for political democracy. He

was referring to post-Vietnam mistrust of government. He's not even a neo-conservative. He would be called a liberal.

Neo-cons push for outright obedience and jingo support, based on "**moral clarity**".



links on this page

**"scientific" methods of control**

**Wikipedia source explained, Brzezinski compared and explained**

**CIA controls ALL the media**

--->> **Brzezinski decoded quotes below.**

## MORE Brzezinski QUOTES


This Ain't Your Momma's CIA

Excellent analysis of the G8 War by Break for News

DONT MISS MORE QUOTES BY FASCISTS

### SAMPLE QUOTE

1. Brzezinski on America: "... society dominated by an elite whose claim to political power would rest on allegedly superior scientific know-how. Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values (founding liberal values like freedom), this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends by using the latest modern techniques for influencing public behavior and keeping society under close surveillance and control." Technical and scientific momentum would then feed on the situation it exploits.



His forecasts proved accurate. Today, a number of new tools for the "elite" are emerging, and the temptation to use them increases steadily. The policies to permit the tools to be used are already in place. How could the United States be changed, bit by bit, into the predicted highly-controlled technosociety? Among the "steppingstones" Brzezinski expected were persisting social crises and use of the mass media to gain the public's confidence.

WOW. "Unhindered by the restraints of traditional liberal values, this elite would not hesitate to achieve its political ends ..."

He's saying "the ends justify the means" for their plans.

here's more "unhindered": "It follows that America's primary interest is to help ensure that no single power comes to control this geopolitical space (Eurasia) and that the global community has **unhindered financial and economic access** to it." Even if it takes "fake reasons" for war to "beat Al-Qaeda" and kick out the Butcher of Baghdad? Why not tell us?

Sound like a good future for everyone else? Climb aboard.

<http://watch.pair.com/FreedomHouse.html> (whacky site, good research)

The Trilateral Commission was created by David Rockefeller and CFR member **Zbigniew Brezinski** with the goal of economic linkage between Japan, Europe, and the United States, and: "...managing the world economy...a smooth and peaceful evolution of the global system." (except Brz has historically supported mass murderers like Pol Pot and Al-Qaeda)



By the way: The Tri-Lateral Commission is not a "conspiracy theory". Neither is the CFR. Trilateral Commission 1156 Fifteenth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005 202-467-5410

[Council on Foreign Relations](#) founded in 1921 and based at 58 East 68th Street (corner Park Avenue) in New York City, with an additional office at 1779 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202-518.3400.

Brezenski, National Security Advisor to President Carter, CFR member and first Director of the Trilateral Commission wrote of a society controlled by a Power Elite in his 1970 book, *Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era*:

The technetronic era involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite, unrestrained by traditional values (like liberty and democracy). Soon it will be possible to assert almost continuous surveillance over every citizen and maintain up-to-date complete files containing even the most personal information about the citizen. These files will be subject to instantaneous retrieval by the authorities.

#### MIND CONTROL

In the technotronic society the trend would seem to be towards the **aggregation of the individual support of millions of uncoordinated citizens**, easily within the reach of **magnetic and attractive personalities** effectively exploiting the latest communications techniques to *manipulate emotions and control reason.*" —1970, *Between Two Ages : America's Role in the Technetronic Era*

The following statement was made more than twenty-five years ago in a book by Brzezinski which he wrote while a professor at Columbia University:

*"Political strategists are tempted to exploit research on the brain and human behavior. Geophysicist Gordon J.F. MacDonald, a specialist in problems of warfare, says accurately-timed, artificially-excited electronic strokes could lead to a pattern of oscillations that produce relatively high power levels over certain regions of the earth ... in this way one could develop a system that would seriously impair the brain performance of very large populations in selected regions over an extended period"*

*"... no matter how deeply disturbing the thought of using the environment to manipulate behavior for national advantages, to some, the technology permitting such use will very probably develop within the next few decades."*

Today we are again witnessing the emergence of transnational elites...[whose] ties cut across national boundaries. It is likely that before long the social elites

of most of the more advanced countries will be highly internationalist or globalist in spirit and outlook....



Within a few years the rebels in the more advanced countries who today have the most visibility will be joined by a new generation making its claim to power in government and business... accepting as routine managerial processes current innovations such as planning-programming-budgeting systems (PPBS) ... A national information grid that will integrate existing electronic data banks is already being developed.... The projected world information grid, for which Japan, Western Europe, and the United States are most suited, could create the basis for a common educational program, for the adoption of common academic standards....

The nation-state is gradually yielding its sovereignty. ... In the economic-technological field, some international cooperation has already been achieved, but further progress will require greater American sacrifices. More intensive efforts to shape a new world monetary structure will have to be undertaken, with some consequent risk to the present relatively favorable American position.

This might even be seen as beautiful ... sharing ... if this were not run by the control freaks who helped create Al-Qaeda.

NOAM CHOMSKY author of [Keeping the Rabble in Line](#) blunt commentary on the Power Elites' strategy for controlling the masses:

The bewildered herd are a problem. We've got to prevent their rage and trampling. We've got to distract them. They should be watching the Super Bowl or sitcoms or violent movies or something. Every once in a while you call on them to chant meaningless slogans like 'Support Our Troops' (not totally meaningless, IMO, it really means "support the military + intelligence + security + repression industry"), and you've got to keep them pretty scared because unless they're scared properly and frightened of all kinds of devils that are going to destroy them from outside or inside or somewhere, they may start to think, which is very dangerous because they're not competent to think, and therefore it's important to distract and to marginalize them."



## 1. [Brzezinski decoded below ... click here](#)

Here's a background history of Brzezinski's problem, as a leader of the US elite ruling class.



The US Government currently provides a relatively higher standard of living to its own inhabitants, while catering politically and militarily to rapacious elites who severely devour the living standards of other nations, as well as that of more marginalized domestic classes like [blacks](#) and [Native Americans](#), backed by the power of [Federal police](#). The SUPER-RICH need the SUPER-POOR.

[Chossudovsky](#) describes mass poverty as an INPUT to economic globalization, to increase profits for investors. Not as a perhaps unintentional side effect to be worked out. [Globalization of Poverty](#). It's global mass poverty that will push wages lower and profits higher. Of course the poor can't buy stuff, but ....

In the late 1800's and early 1900's following "official abolition" (while maintaining effective slavery through enforced ghettoization), American industrial and [financial elites](#) had no problem "devouring their own", just as Northern elites were content devouring the labor of The South, America's first "Third World colony".

After decades of [intense labor struggle](#) and resulting concessions by FDR ([and an attempted domestic Fascist Coup](#)), American and global elites are now returning to their traditional role of economic cannibalism with more vigor, using new "scientific" methods of control and economic repression, proceeding more cautiously, advancing in leaps under Bush (and Clinton to a quieter degree), and with clear stated intentions to ramp up economic and [political repression](#) in the future, increasing economic inequality, step-by-step.

Most of the quotes here are from [Wikipedia](#), an online collaborative encyclopedia.

Wikipedia strives to provide balanced viewpoints, and where controversy exists, to present a variety of viewpoints as differing viewpoints, weighing them only as mainstream or less commonly held.

Wikipedia on Brzezinski is not some "hit piece", but fairly generic, mostly relying on unambiguous official statements and his own statements and writings. It's less than a promo, but not unfriendly at all. External links are included, and some are more of Brzezinski's writings to elites, some are Brzezinski's some of his statements retooled for public consumption, some are laudatory essays about him.

A few links are very critical, tying him to responsibility for Sept 11 (some by questionable authors like Tarpley).

Brzezinski's own words -- if read with insight -- are sufficient to grasp the intentions of him and his criminal cabal.

Brzezinski is like a bookend to Henry Kissinger. Compared to Neo-cons, he's a moderate. His beef with the Neo-cons are that they are too blunt, too obvious, and might break their game, cause a set-back on their progress at global and domestic domination.

Most Americans (even Republicans) were NOT AWARE of Clinton's draconian precursor to the PATRIOT Act. Clinton and Brzezinski types do "smooth".

Neo-cons promote insane levels of chaos and destruction, whereas Brzezinski's Elite Liberal Establishment promotes managed and structured chaos and destruction.

Aiming to replace Kissinger's "acrobatics" in foreign policy-making with a foreign policy "architecture" ...



Marxist Philosophy states simply that Capitalism -- particularly neo-liberal global State-capitalism -- is incompatible with democracy, due to it's need for mass repression in favor of elite profit. (democracy a.k.a. a balanced republican form of governance geared to protect human Liberty)

Brzezinski discusses the growing gap between "haves" and "have nots", globally and domestically, and the threat that presents to elite rule.

Brzezinski openly agrees with Marxists that democracy and rampant capitalist acquisition are incompatible. Brzezinski's solution is to advocate subversion of democracy and our representative govt, via clever propaganda and managed chaos via terrorism. (no kidding) (Not eliminating democratic representation, just subverting it, so it's there in form, but not substance.)

steps towards totalitarianism

HOW CLINTON WAS BUSH BEFORE BUSH

EXCERPT FROM A STORY ON CLINTON'S proto-PATRIOT Act

The 1996 Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act was signed into law following the Oklahoma City bombing on August 19, 1995. It prompted the worst assaults on civil liberties the United States had seen in decades", writes George- town law professor David Cole and James X. Dempsey in Terrorism and the Constitution. Clinton admitted to making "a number of ill-advised changes in our immigration laws, having nothing to do with fighting terrorism."

"It was such a weird charge because it's almost like thought crime. How do you prove that someone has intent? It's funny how they try to slip it by and build a whole fraudulent case against you with things that you didn't do."

HOW WILSON WAS BUSH BEFORE BUSH

Remembering With Astonishment Woodrow Wilson's Reign of Terror - Stromberg

The myth of an American neoconservative cabal - Max Boot with charges of "anti-semitism"

Max Boot, Jeane J. Kirkpatrick Senior Fellow for National Security Studies

Neoconservatives are hard, not soft, Wilsonians. They have scant regard for Woodrow Wilson himself, whom they regard as hopelessly naive. Nor is there any love lost between them and

dealpolitikers such as former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and former Presidents Richard Nixon and George Bush, all of whom tried to pursue an amoral foreign policy. Their heroes are Theodore Roosevelt, Franklin Roosevelt, Harry Truman and Ronald Reagan - all presidents who successfully wielded power in the service of a higher purpose.

<http://www.lewrockwell.com/roberts/roberts100.html>

<http://www.lewrockwell.com/orig2/miller2.html>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zbigniew\\_Brezinski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zbigniew_Brezinski)

## FAMOUS QUOTES BY THE FOUNDER OF AL-QAEDA



*foreground or background advisor on US foreign (and domestic) policy under Johnson, Nixon, Ford, Carter, Reagan, Bush, Clinton, and now Bush, National Security Advisor under Carter (or over Carter)*

The Trilateral Commission is a group of prominent political and business leaders and academics primarily from the United States,

**1. Western Europe and Japan.** Its purpose is to strengthen relations among the **three most industrially advanced regions** of the **free world**. Another purpose was to curtail the "**crisis of democracy**" meaning "**too much democracy and freedom**".

*Brzezinski selected Georgia governor Jimmy Carter as a member. (and groomed him to rule, and helped get him elected)*

*The Trilateral Commission: Not a conspiracy theory. 300 of the most wealthy powerful guys in the world, meeting and sharing over coffee and donuts, all looking out for NUMBER ONE -- themselves -- at the expense of everyone else, via geostrategic theories of domination, regional power alliances, using America as a military/finance base. Some call that scheme the "New World Order", including themselves.*

**Al-Qaeda from 1978 to today**

**Brzezinski: How Jimmy Carter and I started the Mujahedeen**

**The Muslim Terrorist Apparatus was created by U.S. intelligence as a Geopolitical**

## Weapon

### The Trilateral Commission modern history project

#### From Brzezinski's Between Two Ages to the Crisis of Democracy -

Iran-Contra under Reagan/Bush funded the Contra terrorists AND the "freedom fighters"

"The Al-Qaeda International Bank of Pakistan"

BCCI - Bank of Commerce and Credit Int'l (most corrupt bank, big 80's scandal, bought or tried to buy the Bank of America.

The Bank of Credit and Commerce International was described as "not really a bank" but an intelligence outfit like the "CIA plus capital flows/international drug trade/arms/terror money-laundering operation". It was reportedly founded by Richard Helms of the CIA, NOT Pakistan ... which would make sense that Pakistan couldn't swing this alone.

OSAMAGATE - Clinton's support for Al-Qaeda documented (but not publicly revealed) by Republican Party Committee Kosovo report  
and beyond 2001 in Chechnya with ACPC

Brzezinski is a past member of the Board of Directors of Amnesty International (??!!!!), Council on Foreign Relations, Atlantic Council, and the National Endowment for Democracy (a known CIA front group).

He was formerly a director of the Trilateral Commission (now serving only on the executive committee, and formerly a boardmember of Freedom House.

His vision is actually less ambitious than that of the Bush administration. This "geopolitical pluralism" would assure that the United States would preside over a system in which a variety of multinational corporations would exploit the regional energy supply, although not necessarily backed by military muscle on the ground. In short, Zbig and his realist liberal friends want to dominate with a multilateral veneer while Bush and his neoconservative backers have no problem dominating unilaterally. This distinction without a difference is also known as "democratic choice." <http://www.americanidealism.com/articles/zbigniew-brzezinski-the-democrats-kissinger.html>

It's NOT about OIL. They've got plenty of oil.

It's about money. They don't have enough money.

To quote Brzezinski, it's about unhindered financial and economic access.

## MORE BRZEZINSKI QUOTES

link: more [New World Order quotes](#)



*'If you were a member of the Council [on Foreign Relations] 15 years ago...you knew damn well that the conversation either was policy or would-be policy. Today, it is just interesting talk.'* National Security Adviser Zbigniew Brzezinski, 1977  
Quoted in Robert Manning, 'A world safe for business', *Far Eastern Economic Review*, 25 March 1977, p.39.  
[Elite Disunity 2008.pdf](#) [Elite Disunity 2008.pdf](#)  
local

I encouraged the Chinese to support [Pol Pot](#). I encouraged the [Thai](#) to help the [Khmer Rouge](#). The question was how to *help* the [Cambodian](#) people. Pol Pot was an abomination. We could never support him. But China could." —1979 (Pol Pot murdered about 2 million people, supported by the CIA.)

another DECODED quote:

"Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multi-cultural society [tolerant, wise, mature, not ignorant Arab-hating, or Gook-hating, or Nigger-hating racists], we may find it **more difficult to fashion a consensus** on foreign policy issues [unrepentant looting and plunder by elites, lack of racist hatred and cultural fear make mass killing less palatable and harder to justify], except in the circumstance of a **truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat**." [solves that problem of human compassion]

—from *The Grand Chessboard*

## 1. What Uncle Sam Really Wants

*The main goals of US foreign policy, quoting George Kennan and others*

*(note: this is the same Chomsky who claims that Sept 11 was not an inside job, despite the fact that he spells out the entire basis of what it was, right here in 1993)*

<http://www.zmag.org/chomsky/sam/sam-1-2.html>

The policies recommended by NSC 68 would require "**sacrifice and discipline**" in the United States -- in other words, **huge military expenditures and cutbacks on social services**. It would also be necessary to overcome the "**excess of tolerance**" that allows too much domestic dissent.

Fuck the Bill of Rights. Fuck Rights, period. The idea that Rights come from God and derive from mere existence and self-ownership as a human being, this is quaint romantic idealism of the classical liberals who founded America based on Liberty and Justice for All.

It is Obedience which grants privileges, just like under a King or Dictator. WRONG. In truth, **they cannot take your rights**, they can only **violate your Rights**, and they commit treason when they break their own Oaths to the public trust, to uphold the Constitution.)

These policies were, in fact, already being implemented. In 1949, US espionage in Eastern Europe had been turned over to a **network run by Reinhard Gehlen, who had headed Nazi military intelligence on the Eastern Front**. This network was one part of the **US-Nazi alliance** that

quickly absorbed many of the worst criminals, extending to operations in Latin America and elsewhere.

George Kennan, who headed the State Department planning staff until 1950

Kennan's office, incidentally, was responsible for the Gehlen network of **Nazi spies being put back in power in Germany, and set free in Argentina and Langley.**

Kennan: "we have about 50% of the world's wealth, but only 6.3% of its population....In this situation, we cannot fail to be the object of envy and resentment. Our real task in the coming period is to devise a pattern of relationships which will **permit us to maintain this position of disparity....**To do so, we will have to **dispense with all sentimentality** and day-dreaming; and our attention will have to be concentrated everywhere on our immediate national objectives.... **We should cease to talk about vague and...unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of the living standards, and democratization.**

The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in **straight power concepts (force, brutality)**. The less we are then hampered by idealistic slogans, the better." (Force and brutality as a method of rule and commerce is the exact opposite of every ideal on which America was founded, on what makes America great. Fascist "straight power" is not what people think of when they say "America is a great country". But that's all these guys see, no matter what pretty phrases they use to dress it up, like "Saddam was a butcher, we want the people of Iraq to be free.")

PPS 23 was, of course, a top-secret document. To pacify the public, it was **necessary to trumpet the "idealistic slogans"** (as is still being done constantly), but here planners were talking to one another.

Along the same lines, in a briefing for US ambassadors to Latin American countries in 1950, Kennan observed that a major concern of US foreign policy must be "**the protection of our [i.e. Latin America's] raw materials.**" We must therefore combat a dangerous heresy which, US intelligence reported, was spreading through Latin America: **"the idea that the government has direct responsibility for the welfare of the people."**

(This policy, not only of eliminating 'welfare payments', but rejecting the concept of the govt *created by us* to protect "general welfare", is being implemented in America too. The welfare state is NOT coming to an end, quite the opposite -- war is corporate welfare -- a free service of armed robbery and murder supported by taxpayers. Defense spending and "liberalization" of the restrictions of military force over civilian affairs, for both external "threats" and internal "threats" of dissent (policy long preceding 9-11) is *ramping up*. This IS THE PLAN, not a side-effect of poor planning in Iraq or dysfunctional agencies on Sept 11 or Katrina.)

Here's your answer, **Mark Manning**, as to what US foreign policy really is about. How could you be so intelligent, and be making a political movie, analyzing, and be so dumb as to not know this history? How much do they pay you for acting like it's a mystery and a "mistake"? They've been saying "mistakes were made" for decades now. Are you just "playing dumb", like Bush?

1. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zMYN2bwssGQ>

## Jon Stewart joking on the 'Miami Seven' psyop on the Daily Show



"The attitude of the American public toward the external projection of American power has been much more ambivalent. The public supported America's engagement in World War II largely because of the **shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor.**" [wink]

In the early 70's, when Brzezinski was touting the Technetronic Era and new controls on civilian populations, his buddy

1. Samuel Huntington over at Trilateral was calling for a "cataclysmic crisis" for social control in his book "Crisis of Democracy". This basically stated, 'American people are too uppity for a democracy'.

"In brief, for the United States, Eurasian geostrategy involves the purposeful management of geostrategically dynamic states [dynamic - change, unrest] and the careful handling of geopolitically catalytic states [catalytic - capable of causing an explosive reaction], in keeping with the twin interests of America in the short-term: preservation of its unique global power and in the long-run transformation of it into increasingly **institutionalized global cooperation.**

[DECODED in plain English: carefully managed terrorism for power and profit, while setting up a global intelligence network coalition led by American Intelligence and allies, i.e. what they call The New World Order]

In his 1970 piece *Between Two Ages: America's Role in the Technetronic Era*, Brzezinski argued that a coordinated policy amongst **developed nations** was necessary in order to counter global instability erupting from **increasing** economic inequality.

Nothing about **FIXING** economic inequality. Rather, it's about **increasing** economic inequality. And then countering "instability", i.e. repressing pissed off populations by corralling them with a "**police state system**" and/or **military occupation**. These guys are smart enough to build their police state ahead of their planned economic meltdown.

"This regionalization is in keeping with the Tri-Lateral Plan which calls for a gradual convergence of East and West, ultimately leading toward the goal of one world government. National sovereignty is no longer a viable concept." --- Zbigniew Brzezinski, National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter

"... the Central Asian region and the Caspian Sea basin are known to contain reserves of natural gas and oil that dwarf those of Kuwait, the Gulf of Mexico, or the North Sea."

"It follows that America's primary interest is to help ensure that no single power comes to control this geopolitical space and that the global community has **unhindered financial and economic access** to it."

"That puts a premium on **maneuver and manipulation** in order to prevent the emergence of a hostile coalition that could eventually seek to challenge America's primacy..." destabilizing the Middle East states to prevent them from forming a coalition.

#### Soviet Union and Al-Qaeda

It was [July 3, 1979](#), that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime (**Al-Qaeda**) in



Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to **induce a Soviet military intervention**." —Le Nouvel

Observateur, Paris, 15-[21 January 1998](#)

"We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war."—*On precipitating the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan*

"What is most important to the history of the world? The [Taliban](#) or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some

stirred-up [Muslims](#) or the liberation of [Central Europe](#) and the end of the [cold war](#)?" - 1998 interview

President Jimmy Carter and Soviet General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev sign the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks (SALT II) treaty, 16 June 1979, in Washington D.C. Zbigniew Brzezinski is directly behind President Carter, and is the only person smiling in the picture.

Presidential Directive 18 on U.S. National Security, signed early in Carter's term, signalled a fundamental reassessment of the value of detente, and set the U.S. on a course to quietly end the stability and accommodation associated with Kissinger's strategy.

1. To put it in a terminology that hearkens back to the **more brutal age** of ancient empires, the three grand imperatives of imperial geostrategy are **to prevent collusion** [right/left dischord over nonsense issues, playing global chess with countries and groups] and maintain **security dependence** among the vassals [**that's us, the disposable serfs who work for the feudal lords**], to keep **tributaries** pliant and protected [oil rich countries, and money flows], and to keep the barbarians from coming together. [**everyone who should be opposing them, keep divided and conquered, civil war in Iraq, Sean Hannity, Rush Limbaugh, Bill O'Reilly, and the Leftgatekeepers like Amy Goodman**]"

—from *The Grand Chessboard*

from Brzezinski's ally: **"The West won the world not by the superiority of its ideas or values or religion but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence. Westerners often forget this fact, non-Westerners never do."**

**-- Samuel P. Huntington**

Live by the sword, die by the sword. My fear is they will turn the sword on the civilian population. They have NO moral compunction about attacking civilians anywhere, including their own, and they are very concerned about Americans waking up and resisting en masse.



"The president himself has to make, in a speech addressed to the nation, a **careful, reasoned case**, without sloganeering, on the **specifics of the threat. Detailed evidence needs to be presented** that the threat is both **grave and imminent**. An explanation is also needed as to why one member of 'the axis of evil' is seen as more menacing than the others."


Bush partially flubbed this one, by relying too much on cliches and sloganeering, too little on reasoning. Bad Bush.

"...foreign policy of a pluralistic democracy like the United States should be based on bipartisanship because bipartisanship is the means and the framework for formulating policies based on moderation and on the recognition of the complexity of the human condition. That has been the tradition since the days of Truman and Vandenberg all the way until recent times."

—Address to the New American Strategies Conference, **October 28**, 2003

[DECODED in plain English: "Bi-Partisanship" looks like democracy, it's been a tradition, keep using it because complex human beings will grudgingly accept almost anything if two "opposite" parties seem to come to agreement. If they don't stick with the two-party "framework" for consensus, people might start getting leery about the policy too, or even the legitimacy of elite rule. Best not to rouse the beast from it's slumber.]

EndGame blueprint for global enslavement: video below a must watch



<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x-CrNlilZho&ob=av3e>

**THE END**